MINUTES OF THE ST. MARY'S COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING

ROOM 14 * GOVERNMENTAL CENTER * LEONARDTOWN, MARYLAND Monday, February 13, 2006

Members present were Joseph St. Clair, Chair; Steve Reeves, Vice Chair; Lawrence Chase; Merl Evans; Brandon Hayden; Susan McNeill; and Howard Thompson. Department of Land Use and Growth Management (LUGM) staff present was Denis Canavan, Director; Jeff Jackman, Senior Planner IV; Phil Shire, Planner IV; Sue Veith, Planner IV; Bob Bowles, Planner II; and Keona Courtney, Recording Secretary. Deputy County Attorney, Heidi Dudderar, was also present.

The Chair called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

<u>APPROVAL OF MINUTES</u> – The minutes of January 9, 2006 and January 23, 2006 were approved as recorded.

CONGRATULATIONS TO MR. CHASE

The Chair congratulated Mr. Chase on his recent reappointment to the Planning Commission for another five years.

PUBLIC HEARING DECISION FROM JANUARY 9, 2006

PSUB #04-12000033 – RIVENDELL FARM

The request is for award of Growth Allocation to the Board of County Commissioners to change the Critical Area Overlay from Resource Conservation Area (RCA) to the Limited Development Area (LDA) for 47.9824 acres.

Owner: Thomas Harrison Reeves

The Vice Chair excused himself due to his own possible conflict of interest.

Mr. St. Clair explained that he, Mr. Thompson, Mr. Evans, and Ms. Veith visited the property on January 18, 2006. Mr. Thompson explained that after meeting with the neighbors and looking over the lot, he feels comfortable with the recommendation from staff. Ms. McNeill recognized that there were changes to the recommended conditions for award of Growth Allocation, and asked about the considerations that led to the changes. Mr. Evans explained that after visiting the site, they found that the proposed access point for the three piers seemed difficult. He explained that having an access point on the opposite side of the property would be better, and that access point locations were discussed with the neighbors. Mr. Thompson explained that they discussed different locations for the road leading to the access point, the impact of the road to any of the lots, and who would have access to it. Ms. McNeill asked if the Planning Commission's recommendation will include recommendations for the location of the pier proposed by Mr. Reeves. Mr. St. Clair explained that this will be considered. He explained that

during the site visit he noticed that approximately 60 to 70 percent of the forestation on the property is dead and therefore the recommendation includes provisions for additional plantings on the property. Mr. Thompson explained that he saw a few locations on the property that seemed like better places to build a pier than the proposed location. Mr. St. Clair explained that the slopes are steep at the location where the pier was proposed the first time, and that tidal wetlands must be crossed before reaching the mean high tide edge. He explained that they considered disturbances to the soils in the recommendation.

Mr. Thompson moved that "In the matter of the request for recommendation for award of growth allocation for Rivendell Farm, # 04-120-033 to change the Critical Area overlay from Resource Conservation Area (RCA) Overlay to the Limited Development Area Overlay and to address prior subdivision of the parent tract that resulted in greater than 1 dwelling per 20 acres on the parent tract:

- A. Upon consideration of the staff report, the testimony at the public hearing and subsequent comments received during the open record period, we find:
 - that the parent tract contained approximately 105.74 acres of which 74.2834 acres in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Resource Conservation Area overlay and had only 3 development rights, and that an error in calculation of available density stemming from the original division of the land by deed in 1987 to settle the estate has resulted in subsequent subdivisions that have created 4 lots on the parent parcel, that the existing development exceeds the 1 dwelling per twenty acres permitted without growth allocation, and that the remedy to this error requires award of 37.3253 acres of growth allocation; and
 - that the request for growth allocation in the amount of 16.08 acres to allow development of the proposed subdivision known as Rivendell Farm, # 04-120-033, to create 4 single family lots and two open space parcels in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area is not consistent with the Comprehensive Plan goal to direct growth in rural areas to existing population centers and protect resource areas and the objective of limiting growth in the Rural Preservation District (RPD) to preserve open space and protect and promote agriculture and forestry and does not meet the requirements for growth allocation found in the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance (CZO) § 41.9.1. including adjacency of new LDA to existing LDA, for clustering of new development in the proposed development, and for minimizing the use of the County's growth allocation allotment.
- **B.** We therefore make recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners as follows:
 - 1. that the Board accept the findings of the Planning Commission as to the consistency of the request with the County Comprehensive Plan and ordinances; and
 - 2. that the Board of County Commissioners APPROVE the award of growth allocation for 37.3253 acres to be mapped (as shown on Exhibit A of the motion) for the Critical Area portions of White Plains Farm Lots 4, 5, 6, 7,

White Plains subdivision Lot 500-1 and Thomas Harrison Reeves Subdivision Farmstead 1 and Lucy Beal Lane right-of-way to correct this error; and that the Board forward to the Critical Area Commission a Notice of Intent to award 37.3253 acres encompassing the Critical Area portions of White Plains Farm Lots 4, 5, 6, 7, White Plains subdivision Lot 500-1 and Thomas Harrison Reeves Subdivision Farmstead 1 and Lucy Beal Lane R.O.W., and that the Board include the following condition in the resolution implementing the award of Growth Allocation:

- a. Mapping of LDA on the official Critical Area maps over the lands within White Plains Farm Lots 4, 5, 6, 7, White Plains subdivision Lot 500-1 and Thomas Harrison Reeves Subdivision Farmstead 1 is subject to the condition that no future division of those lands which lay within the LDA overlay or of the parent tract remaining in the RCA is allowed to create additional dwelling sites.
- 3. that the Board of County Commissioners DENY the request for the 16.08 acre development envelope necessary to allow development of the proposed subdivision known as Rivendell Farm, # 04-120-033, to create 4 single family lots and two open space parcels in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area; but, if the Board of County Commissioners chooses to recommend approval of the request for growth allocation, that the Board implement the LDA boundary (as shown on Exhibit B) and the conditions on approval for award of such growth allocation recommended by the Planning Commission which are outlined in Exhibit C.

Recommended Conditions of Approval if Growth Allocation is awarded for Rivendell Farm, # 04-120-033, to create 4 single family lots and two open space parcels in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area:

- a. Mapping of LDA on the official Critical Area maps over the lands within Rivendell Farms subdivision is subject to the condition that no further subdivision of the areas of the parcel outside the development envelope, the newly created lots or of the open space Parcels Y and Z is allowed. Plat notes and a deed restriction to this effect shall be recorded at the time of final subdivision approval.
- b. The 300-foot expanded Critical Area Buffer on all lands within the Rivendell Farms subdivision shall be allowed to regenerate as natural forest in all areas which are not actively farmed or required for utilities (septic reserve areas, geothermal fields) serving Lot 5000-2. If farming activities cease then those farmed areas shall be allowed to naturally regenerate in forest cover. No mowing or other vegetation management, except as recommended by the St. Mary's County Forester to assure growth of forest vegetation and approved by the Department of Land Use and Growth Management, shall be allowed within the expanded Critical Area Buffer. This regeneration of forest in the Buffer shall not relieve the requirements for afforestation on each of the lots. Afforestation will be required at the time of permitting of development on each of the lots to assure a minimum of fifteen percent forest cover is provided on

the individual lots. Clearing of and mitigation for clearing of any existing forest shall be in accordance with the Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance.

c. The proposed location of the access and pier to serve the 4 new lots shall be isolated from the boundary of the adjacent landowner to the west. Relocation of the easement and pier to the northwest corner of the property, using the existing driveway and cross slope cut to the shoreline using a route that avoids the SRA and geothermal fields on Lot 5000-2 is preferred. If the location remains per the current plan, then a condition of approval should include a continuous 30 foot wide densely planted buffer along the shared property line and installation of measures to assure that access to the pier and shoreline is by pedestrians only. Installation of the pier, access path and any steps or walkways necessary to traverse the hill shall require approval by the county.

All TEC comments shall be addressed and all ordinance provisions (including those for adequate public facilities) for subdivision approval for Rivendell Farms shall be met prior to final subdivision approval by the Planning Commission. A planting agreement assuring reforestation of the expanded Buffer, and shall be executed at the time the subdivision is recorded. All ordinance criteria for development review and approval shall be met prior to issuance of grading, environmental, or building permits. The motion was seconded by Mr. Evans and passed by a 6-0 vote.

PUBLIC HEARING

CWSP #05-132-039 – HUNTING CREEK

The request is to amend service area maps III-51 and IV-51 to change the service area category from W-6 and S-6 (service in 6 to 10 years) to W-3D and S-3D (service in 3 to 6 years, developer financed) for 20.1 acres. The property is located on the west side of Willows Road; Tax Map 51, Block 16, Parcel 433 in the Eighth Election District.

Owner: Southern Maryland Tri-County Community Action

Committee

Present: Dan Ichniowski, NG&O Engineering, Inc.

The property was posted, legal advertisements were published on January 29, 2006 and February 5, 2006, and notices were mailed to the adjoining property owners. A copy of the proposed amendment was placed on the County website and in all branches of the County libraries.

Mr. Jackman explained that the property is located in the Lexington Park Development District and that the Planning Commission granted concept site plan approval of the request on November 14, 2005 in order to proceed with an amendment to the Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan (CWSP). There are existing water and sewer connections in close proximity to the property.

The Chair opened the hearing to public comment.

Mr. Ichniowski explained that there will be 70 townhouse units and 30 single-family duplex units, which will be constructed by those who will reside in them. He

explained that the project serves to provide replacement housing for the Lexington Manor residents. Some of the units will be workforce housing and some will be self-help units. Mr. Ichniowski explained that there will also be a 5,000 square feet community center on the property. He explained that the property is crossed by a wetland, and that it is being preserved. He explained that the water and sewer system is intended to be gravity and flow to a pump station. The pump station will then pump the sewage toward Willows Road. He explained that the water system will follow the public road within the proposed subdivision and make its connection in the Pembrooke Subdivision, where there is a 12 foot water main. Mr. Ichniowski noted that the Tri-County Community Action Committee deeded the property over to the County in November 1988 and that 20 acres were reserved for development, while the remainder of the property is considered an environmental conservation easement.

John Savich, Director of Economic and Community Development for St. Mary's County, explained that this project is critical to completion of the Lexington Manor redevelopment. He explained that a contract will be signed for demolition of 342 duplex units in the near future. He explained that when the project began, approximately 106 units were being occupied or able to be occupied, and that Federal Law requires that the County replace that number of units. He explained that the County is required to designate replacement units for any low income dwelling that it demolishes which was occupied or able to be occupied. Mr. Savage explained that the proposed units at Hunting Creek will be critical to satisfy this requirement. Within three years of demolition the units at Hunting Creek are to be available for occupancy. Ms. McNeill asked if any transportation services are planned for the development. Mr. Savage explained that the requirement is for the occupants to have access to transportation services equivalent to what they would have had in Lexington Manor. The plan is to extend public transportation to Willows Road and to the subdivision upon completion.

The Chair closed the hearing to public comment.

Mr. Thompson moved that having accepted the staff report, dated December 22, 2005, and having held a public hearing on the request for amendment to the St. Mary's County Comprehensive Water and Sewerage Plan (CWSP); and having made findings of adequacy with respect to the objectives and policies of the CWSP as required by the Environment Article of the Maryland Annotated Code and of consistency with the St. Mary's County Comprehensive Plan, the Planning Commission recommend to the Board of County Commissioners to amend service area maps III-51 and IV-51 to change the service area category from W-6 and S-6 (service in 6 to 10 years) to W-3D and S-3D (service in 3 to 6 years, developer financed) for property described as Tax Map 51, Grid 16, Parcel 433 in the Eighth Election District in anticipation of a 100-unit residential development that will include a 5,000 square foot community center; and authorize the Chairman to sign a resolution to convey this recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners. The motion was seconded by Mr. Hayden and passed by a 7-0 vote.

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW

SSUB #04-12000038 – WILLOWGATE AT PATUXENT PARK WEST (PUD)

The applicant is requesting preliminary review and approval of 173 townhouse lots. The property contains 27.9 acres; is zoned Residential Low-Density (RL) District, Planned Unit Development (PUD) 5.3; and is located on the west side of Pacific Drive, at its intersection with Pegg Road; Tax Map 43, Grid 22, Parcel 377.

Owner: Patuxent Park, LLC, c/o Steuart Kret Homes, Inc. Present: Tim Lessner, Lorenzi, Dodd's, & Gunnill, Inc.

Mr. Bowles explained that the original PUD named Patuxent Park West was approved in 1982 to contain 556 dwelling units and an amendment to the PUD was approved in 1990 to allow 41 additional units. He explained that the proposed 173 townhouse units will result in complete build-out of the PUD. The concept site plan for the development was approved by the Planning Commission on March 22, 2004. Mr. Bowles noted that the original approval of the PUD required that land be dedicated for the construction of Pegg Road and Nicolette Park, which are completed.

Mr. Lessner explained that the concept site plan has not changed from the plan that was approved by the Planning Commission in March 2004. The lay out of the townhomes is the same, recreational amenities have been provided, and the site lay out is the same. He explained that his firm has received approval from all of the appropriate agencies for this project. Mr. Lessner explained that Pacific Drive is a public road that is being completed in the PUD, and that it will connect to Pegg Road. Sidewalks will be built along both sides of the road and a sidewalk will also be built along Pegg Road. He explained that Midway Drive is another public road that will be constructed and will tie into the neighborhood. He explained that all of the units will be served by private roads which will be owned and maintained by a homeowners' association. Mr. Lessner explained that the builder intends for the townhouses to be two stories, and that some will have basements. There will be parking in front of each unit, but there will not be garages.

Mr. Reeves moved that having accepted the staff report, dated January 26, 2006, and having made a finding of adequate public facilities; and having made a finding that the referenced project meets all TEC agency requirements, the Planning Commission approve the preliminary subdivision plan. The motion was seconded by Mr. Chase and passed by a 7-0 vote.

CCSP #05-13200043 – C.A. BEAN, ASPHALT PLANT

The applicant is requesting review and approval of a concept site plan for an asphalt plant. The property contains 9.99 acres; is zoned Town Center Mixed Use District (TMX), Industrial; and is located at 29056 Three Notch Road in New Market; Tax Map 4, Grid 23, Parcel 41.

Owner: C.A. Bean Inc.

Present: Billy Mehaffey, Mehaffey & Associates PC

Mr. Bowles explained that the asphalt plant already exists, but that the applicant wants to add on to it. The plant is located in the New Market Town Center development district, in the appropriate zone. He noted that the final findings for adequate public facilities (APF) will be made administratively by the Planning Director, as a prerequisite to final site plan approval. Mr. Mehaffey explained that the existing plant is in fact on the adjacent property and will be relocating to this site. Ms. McNeill asked if there will be expansion of the plant and Mr. Mehaffey replied that there would not be.

Mr. Evans moved that having accepted the staff report, dated January 27, 2006, and having made a finding that the objectives of Section 60.5.3 of the St. Mary's County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance have been met; and noting that the referenced project has met all requirements for concept approval, the Planning Commission approve the concept site plan. The motion was seconded by Mr. Reeves and passed by a 7-0 vote.

PSUB #04-12000008 – GARDINER'S PLACE

The applicant is requesting preliminary review and approval of an 11-lot major subdivision. The property contains 64.54 acres; is zoned Residential Low-Density District (RL), Resource Conservation Area (RCA) Overlay, Limited Development Area (LDA) Overlay; and is located at the north side of Millstone Landing Road, at its intersection with Green Holly Road; Tax Map 35, Grid 21, Parcel 42.

Owner: Thomas Watts

Present: Jerry Soderberg, DH Steffens Co.

Mr. Shire explained that the project began in March 1996 with a request for Growth Allocation, public hearings were held by the Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners, and the request for Growth Allocation was approved in February 1997. There were some mapping issues with the property that were not resolved during this time period, and in May 2003 the Planning Commission held a public hearing and made a recommendation to the Board of County Commissioners that the maps be amended. In July 2003, the Board of County Commissioners held a public hearing, and approved the request to amend the maps in August 2003 to include the Growth Allocation that was approved in February 1997. Mr. Shire explained that the project does meet APF requirements.

Mr. Chase moved that having accepted the staff report, dated January 30, 2006, and having made findings pursuant to Section 30.5.5 of the St. Mary's County Subdivision Ordinance (Criteria for Approval of a Preliminary Plan), including adequate public facilities as described in the Director's report, the Planning Commission approve the preliminary subdivision plan. The motion was seconded by Mr. Thompson and passed by a 7-0 vote.

SPECIAL REQUEST

CCSP #05-14500001 – SHADY KNOLLS, SECTION 2

The applicant is requesting review of a proposed major amendment to convert approximately 11.2 acres of the commercial component to residential and a change of the residential density from 5.3 units to 5.9 units per acre. The property contains 11.20 acres; is zoned Residential Low-Density District (RL), Planned Unit Development (PUD) 5.3; and is located at the north side of Pegg Road, across from Forest Park Drive; Tax Map 43, Grid 21, Parcel 507.

Owner: ALM American Construction, Inc.

Present: Jerry Nokleby, Nokleby Surveying Inc.

Mr. Shire explained that there are two types of amendments to a PUD, and they are categorized as minor and major changes. He explained that the original PUD was approved in 1982 and that it did not contain Pegg Road, which was constructed later. An amendment to the PUD was approved in 1990 which designated a portion of the property near Pegg Road to be used for minor commercial use. He explained that the zoning maps which took effect in 2002 did not show this portion of the PUD, but that the underlying RL zoning of Pegg Road was shown.

Mr. Shire explained that the applicant recently made an application for 60 condominiums which were erroneously considered RL zoning. He explained that staff met with the applicant and decided that the best course of action would be to leave the PUD zoning off of the maps and treat it as an individual case. Therefore, the applicant is proposing 60 condominiums on this RL zoned property. He explained that it will take legislative action to remove the PUD portion, requiring public hearings by the Planning Commission and Board of County Commissioners. The residential component of the approved PUD has been built-out and the major amendment would have two consequences on the overall PUD: (1) to change the commercial portion of the property to residential, and (2) to change the overall density of the PUD from 5.3 to 5.9. He explained that there will be no major impact to the lower portion of the PUD, and that there will be less traffic once the zoning is changed since residential use generates less traffic.

Mr. Nokleby explained that the request is to correct a mapping error so that his client can move forward. Ms. McNeill asked if there will be public transportation services available, and Mr. Nokleby replied that there would be. Mr. Nokleby explained that the development is in two sections, with each section having a different property owner. He explained that the concept site plan for Section 1 has already been approved, and that it was not a part of the PUD. He explained that Mr. Miller, owner of Section 2, intends to use the access point at Forest Run Drive. Mr. Evans asked if this section will have the same design as Section 1, and Mr. Nokleby replied that it would.

A formal motion was not required due to the fact that this was a preapplication meeting to allow the applicant the opportunity to present the proposed development and allow the Planning Commission the opportunity to comment on, or advise the applicant of any particular concerns regarding the proposal prior to preparing the final plan for public hearing. The Planning Commission was advised that the request may be heard at a public hearing in March.

DISCUSSION

SCHOOL CAPACITY FIGURES

Mr. Canavan provided the Planning Commission with copies of an Annual Report on School Capacity and copies of the St. Mary's County School Service Area Boundaries for 2005-2006. He explained that he wants to ensure that the Planning Commission is kept informed and knowledgeable about adequate public facilities as they relate to residential and commercial development within the County. Mr. Canavan explained that the Board of Education provides its enrollment figures from September 30th,and that LUGM is responsible for analyzing the individual capacity of each school, the available capacity based on the guidelines in the St. Mary's County Comprehensive Zoning Ordinance, and the school enrollment figures as adjusted by major subdivision approvals in the previous year.

Mr. Canavan explained the school capacity figures as illustrated in the report for the Northern, Central, and Southern Service Areas. School capacity is analyzed for the elementary, middle, and high school levels within each service area. There is a negative capacity figure at the elementary level of the Northern Service Area, which suggests that there is no available capacity at the elementary level. There is available capacity at the middle and high school levels of the Northern Service Area. Mr. Canavan explained that the next step is to consider any requests for major subdivisions. A minor subdivision contains less than six lots and a major subdivision contains six or more lots. A minor subdivision, containing five or fewer lots, is exempt from adequate public facilities (APF) findings and could proceed through the review process. Mr. Canavan explained that, given the fact that there is a negative capacity figure at the elementary level in the Northern Service Area, staff will not recommend approval to the Planning Commission for any major subdivision requests in this service area. He noted that an applicant may submit a major subdivision request and that it may proceed to the Planning Commission, but that staff will recommend denial of the request since it will not satisfy the APF Ordinance. Mr. Canavan explained the figures for the Central Service Area, and that although there is available capacity at the elementary level, there is negative capacity at the middle school and high school levels. Due to the fact that there is no available capacity at the middle school and high school levels, no major subdivision could be given a recommendation for approval. Next, he explained the figures for the Southern Service Area. There is available capacity at the elementary school, middle school, and high school levels. Overall, two out of the three service areas' school capacity figures do not allow for approval of any major subdivision requests.

Mr. Canavan explained that he desires for growth to take place within the County, but that growth must be in accordance with certain guidelines and regulations. He recommended that the County take advantage of State funds because they could be allotted to other jurisdictions or forward fund now in order to build a new school. Mr. Chase asked if private schools and charter schools are a factor in looking at school capacity. Mr. Canavan explained that they exist in the County and they allow an additional number of students to attend public schools. He stated that they are not a factor in school capacity figures. Ms. McNeill explained that it is her understanding that

if a charter school were to begin operating in the County then this may decrease the enrollment figures for public schools and Mr. Canavan agreed. She asked if capacity figures would include a charter school. Mr. Canavan stated that he was not sure but that he will research this. He also suggested that the Planning Commission consider an Annual Growth Policy to help solve this ongoing issue. Mr. Canavan explained that an Annual Growth Policy would help control the number of dwelling unit permits and control the number of lots that are approved for preliminary plans. Mr. Reeves expressed concern about how to limit lots for developments. Mr. Canavan explained that an applicant can request a desired number of lots, but that approval of the lots could be phased over a number of years as opposed to the two year build out period in place now. Mr. Chase expressed concern about not having enough affordable housing. Mr. Canavan explained that he wants to provide an array of housing choices, including workforce housing. Mr. Evans agreed with the idea of having an Annual Growth Policy. Ms. McNeill expressed her content with the fact that the County can adjust to the needs of major employers. Mr. Canavan noted that he intends to increase the available density in several zones within the Lexington Park Development District (LPDD), which will help with the availability of affordable housing; bringing it closer to the naval base, reducing work trips, and reducing housing costs. He explained that updates on school capacity will be brought back to the Planning Commission.

UPDATE OF TRANSFERABLE DEVELOPMENT RIGHTS (TDRS)

Mr. Canavan provided the Planning Commission with copies of the TDR provisions that were presented to the BOCC. He explained that the TDR provisions were prepared to include the Chamber of Commerce's TDR proposal. Mr. Canavan suggested that the Planning Commission conduct one or two work sessions on TDR provisions. He wants people to know what TDR provisions mean, how they will be administrated, and how they will affect individual properties in the County. Mr. St. Clair asked when the work session will be held. Mr. Canavan explained that he will work with Mr. St. Clair to schedule the best date, and that the work session will be shown on a forthcoming agenda. He suggested that the work session be held at another location to accommodate a larger audience. He stressed that he wants the general public to have a better understanding of TDR provisions and encouraged public attendance. Mr. Evans asked if there will be a handout for the public to help facilitate their understanding of the provisions and Mr. Canavan agreed to provide a handout.

ANNOUNCEMENTS

HURRICANE RELIEF FUND

Mr. St. Clair explained that he was one of the co-founders for the County's Hurricane Relief Fund, and that they performed Operation Mississippi Christmas. He explained that over 2,200 children were adopted in D'Iberville, Mississippi, and taken care of during Christmas time. He announced that a film of the operation will be shown at the Chamber of Commerce on March 2nd from 6:30 – 8:30pm. He said that the thank you letters received from the children who were adopted will be posted then as well. Mr. St. Clair explained that funds will not be taken out of the Hurricane Relief Fund for this thank you event. He thanked the County on behalf of the Hurricane Relief Fund, and

invited those citizens who participated in the hurricane relief to come be a part of this event.

NEXT MEETING

Mr. St. Clair advised everyone that the February 27th meeting will be chaired by the Vice Chair due to the fact that he, as well as the other participants who traveled to D'Iberville, Mississippi for Operation Mississippi Christmas, will be recognized by the General Assembly that evening. They will be recognized on the House Floor and then by the Senate.

<u>ADJOURNMENT</u>		
The meeting was adjourned at 8:35 p.m.		
	Keona L. Courtney	
	Recording Secretary	
Approved in open session: March 13, 2006		
Joseph St. Clair		
Chairman		